ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

BUNT & SHAVER, LLP 31 N. Parkcenter Drive, Suite 103 Santa Ana, California 92705 Telephone: (714) 558–8823

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

25

26

## NATURE OF ACTION

1. Answering paragraph No. 1, this paragraph appears to contain no allegations of fact to which a response by Pacwest is required. To the extent a response is required, Pacwest denies the allegations set forth in paragraph No. 1, and specifically denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief referenced therein.

## THE PARTIES

- 2. Answering paragraph No. 2, Pacwest has insufficient information to admit or deny this allegation on that basis denies same.
  - 3. Answering paragraph No. 3, Pacwest admits the allegations therein.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Answering paragraph no. 4, this paragraph appears to contain no allegations of fact to which a response by Pacwest is required. To the extent a response is required, Pacwest denies same. Pacwest admits the remaining allegations.
- 5. Answering paragraph No. 5, this paragraph appears to contain no allegations of fact to which a response by Pacwest is required. To the extent a response is required, Pacwest denies 16 the allegations set forth in paragraph No. 5, and specifically denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief referenced therein.

### CASE BACKGROUND

- 6. Answering paragraph No. 6, Pacwest admits the allegations therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph No. 7, Pacwest admits the allegations therein.
- 8. Answering paragraph No. 8, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered. Pacwest has insufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations and on that basis denies same.
- 9. Answering paragraph No. 9, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

23

27

28

- 10. Answering paragraph No. 10, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
  - 11. Answering paragraph No. 11, Pacwest denies the allegations therein.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS – 11 U.S.C. § 547)

- 12. Answering paragraph No. 12, Pacwest incorporates by reference its responses to paragraph Nos. 1-11, as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. Answering paragraph No. 13, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service 14 Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those 16 covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
  - 14. Answering paragraph No. 14, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
  - 15. Answering paragraph No. 15, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
    - 16. Answering paragraph No. 16, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service

3

5

7

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard servi					
l	or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those				
l	covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid				
l	Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.				

- 17. Answering paragraph No. 17, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
- 18. Answering paragraph No. 18, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.
  - 19. Answering paragraph No. 19, Pacwest denies the allegations therein.
- 20. Answering paragraph No. 20, Pacwest denies that it has any liability for the transfers and that it has any obligation to pay the amount of the transfers or turn over property and therefore admits that it has not paid said amount or turned over such property.
  - 21. Answering paragraph No. 21, Pacwest denies the allegations therein.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RECOVERY OF PROPERTY – 11 U.S.C. § 550)

- 22. Answering paragraph No. 22, Pacwest incorporates by reference its responses to paragraph Nos. 1-21, as if fully set forth herein.
  - 23. Answering paragraph No. 23, Pacwest denies the allegations therein.
- 24. Answering paragraph No. 24, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid.

3

7

8

11

12

16

17

22

23

24

Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.

25. Answering paragraph No. 25, Pacwest admits that it entered into a Security Service Agreement with BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. to furnish uniformed unarmed guard services on or about June 18, 2008, for which statements were issued for services rendered, including those covering the time periods set forth in Exhibit "A" to the complaint, for which it was paid. Pacwest denies the remaining allegations therein.

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. Plaintiff has failed to allege facts with sufficient specificity that, if proven, establish a *prima facie* case for recovery under 11 U.S.C. §§ 502, 547, and 550, and by this failure has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. To the extent the Debtor transferred any interest in property to or for the benefit of an alleged transferee during the Preference Period, such transfers were intended by the Debtor and such transferee to be contemporaneous exchanges for new value given to the Debtor, and the transfers were, in fact, substantially contemporaneous exchanges. (11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1).)

### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. To the extent the Debtor transferred any interest in property to or for the benefit of an alleged transferee during the Preference Period, such transfers were in payment of a debt incurred by the Debtor in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs of the Debtor and such transferee and either (i) made in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs of the Debtor and such transferee or (ii) made according to ordinary business terms. (11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(2).)

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. To the extent the Debtor transferred any interest in property to or for the benefit of an alleged transferee during the Preference Period, such transfers were made for new value given to or for the benefit of the Debtor, not secured by an otherwise unavoidable security interest and on account of which new value the Debtor did not make an otherwise unavoidable transfer to or for the benefit of such transferee. (11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(4).)

19-	01057-scc	Doc 8	Filed 06/28/19 F	Entered 06/28/19 15:51:25 og 8 of 8	Main Document
1			·	SERVICE LIST	
2					
3	Beth E. Lev Pachulski S	ine tang Ziel	nl & Jones LLP 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor 17-2024		
4	780 Third A	Avenue, 3 NY 100	6 <sup>th</sup> Floor 17-2024		
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				8	
				ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	

BUNT & SHAVER, LLP 801 M. Parkcenter Drive, Suite 103 Santa Ana, California 92705 Telephone: (714, 558–8823